# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| MICHEL PAYNE,             | )                   |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Plaintiff,                | )<br>8:06CV686      |
| v.                        | ,                   |
| PETER KIEWIT SONS', INC., | ORDER to SHOW CAUSE |
| Defendant.                | )                   |
|                           | )                   |

Despite a previous order by the court, the plaintiff, Michel Payne, failed to appear at the planning conference scheduled for April 25, 2007.

#### **Sanctions**

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide for the imposition of sanctions if a party fails to comply with an order of the court, frustrates and impedes discovery, or otherwise wilfully ignores his or her obligations under the court's rules. "Pro se litigants are not excused from complying with court orders or substantive and procedural law." *Farnsworth v. City of Kansas City, Mo.*, 863 F.2d 33, 34 (8th Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 493 U.S. 820 (1989).

**Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f)** authorizes sanctions "[i]If a party or party's attorney fails to obey a scheduling or pretrial order, or if no appearance is made on behalf of a party at a scheduling or pretrial conference." In such circumstances,

the judge, upon motion or the judge's own initiative, may make such orders with regard thereto as are just, and among others any of the orders provided in  $Rule\ 37(b)(2)(B)$ , (C),  $(D)^1$ . In lieu of or in addition to any other sanction,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2) sets forth an array of possible sanctions if a party "fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery ... or if a party fails to obey an order entered under Rule 26(f)." Such sanctions include:

<sup>(</sup>B) An order refusing to allow the disobedient party to support or oppose designated claims or defenses, or prohibiting that party from introducing designated matters in evidence;

<sup>(</sup>C) An order striking out pleadings or parts thereof, or staying further proceedings until the order is obeyed, or *dismissing the action or proceeding or any part thereof*, or rendering a judgment by default against the disobedient party;

the judge shall require the party or the attorney representing the party or both to pay the reasonable expenses incurred because of any noncompliance with this rule, including attorney's fees, unless the judge finds that the noncompliance was substantially justified or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

## Id. (Emphasis added.)

Similarly, Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) states:

Involuntary Dismissal: Effect Thereof. For failure of the plaintiff to prosecute or to comply with these rules or any order of court, a defendant may move for dismissal of an action or of any claim against the defendant. Unless the court in its order for dismissal otherwise specifies, a dismissal under this subdivision and any dismissal not provided for in this rule, other than a dismissal for lack of jurisdiction, for improper venue, or for failure to join a party under Rule 19, operates as an adjudication upon the merits.

### (Emphasis added.)

Therefore, the plaintiff shall have until **May 18, 2007**, to show cause by filing a written "Response to Order to Show Cause" addressing why this litigation should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. In the absence of a timely and sufficient Response to Order to Show Cause, the plaintiff's complaint and this action may be subject, without further notice, to dismissal without prejudice.

#### SO ORDERED.

DATED this 25th day of April, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge

(Emphasis added.)

<sup>(</sup>D) In lieu of any of the foregoing orders or in addition thereto, an order treating as a contempt of court the failure to obey any orders except an order to submit to a physical or mental examination....